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Abstract

The chemical potential of iron in a amorphous phase of multicomponent multiphase alloys Fe – 7.3 % Si – 14.2 % B – 8.26 % Ni (alloy 1) and Fe – 0.32 % Si – 4.8 % B – 6.68 % Ni – 2.42 % Co – 8.88 % Cr – 6.42 % Mo (alloy 2), which contain crystalline and amorphous phases, was determined on the basis of experimental results and a theoretical model. The alloys were produced by melt quenching at a cooling rate of the order of 10⁵ K/s and subsequent mechanical milling in an attritor, which resulted in an increase in the fraction of the amorphous phase and improvement of its thermal stability. The chemical potential of iron in a non-equilibrium alloy containing an amorphous phase and crystalline phases (iron-base α-solid solution, FeB, Fe₂B, FeSi and other compounds) was determined by an electrochemical method. In order to define the chemical potential of iron in the amorphous phase from the results of electrochemical measurements, a thermodynamic model was developed using the CALPHAD approach for crystalline phases and the SGTE database for pure elements. To evaluate the enthalpy and entropy contribution to the chemical potential of Fe in amorphous phases, the theory of mismatch entropy was employed. It is found that milling in the attritor improves the stability of multicomponent amorphous phases in the above systems, which can be attributed to changes in the cluster-atomic structure of an amorphous phase due to intensive plastic deformation.
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Introduction

Amorphous alloys, or metallic glasses are currently used in industry as materials and coatings for a wide range of applications due to their unique features, e.g., high elastic properties, good corrosion stability in many environments, low friction coefficient, etc. [1]. Also, crystallization of metallic glasses at heating above crystallization temperature $T_{cr}$ can be used for producing crystalline alloys with fine grains the size of which ranges from submicro- to nano-level [2]. Typically, thermodynamic properties of amorphous alloys, namely the formation enthalpy, are estimated using semi-empirical Miedema model [3], which is also employed for evaluating the glass-forming ability of multicomponent liquids. However, the thermodynamic stability of phases is determined by the Gibbs energy rather than by enthalpy. A comparison of thermodynamic properties of amorphous phases with experimental data has not been performed yet either. Direct evaluation of the Gibbs energy from experimental data is very difficult but it is possible to measure the chemical potential, i.e. partial molar free energy, of a base metal of amorphous alloy using electrochemical method [4, 5].

Recently, economically alloyed iron-base compositions in the Fe–Si–B–Ni and Fe–Si–B–Ni–Co–Cr–Mo systems have been developed at the Belorussian National Technical University for producing amorphous and crystalline-amorphous materials and coatings. The problem is that at rapid cooling using the melt spinning method the liquid alloys do not amorphize completely because of a large difference in cooling rates on the opposite sides of the
obtained ribbon. For a multiphase amorphous-crystalline alloy, the physical meaning of the data obtained by electrochemical measurements is not clear. Thus, the objective of this work is to develop a theoretical method for evaluating the thermodynamic properties of an amorphous phase, namely the chemical potential of iron, in the given systems on the basis of experimental measurements. This will permit estimating, in thermodynamic terms, a difference between amorphous phases produced by different methods, e.g., melt quenching and mechanical alloying.

**Experimental Methods and Materials**

Rapidly quenched alloys with overall compositions (wt.%) Fe – 7.3 % Si–14.2 % B – 8.26 % Ni (alloy 1) and Fe – 6.68 % Ni – 6.42 % Mo – 8.88 % Cr – 2.42 % Co – 0.32 % Si – 4.8 % B (alloy 2) were produced by melt spinning using a rotating water-cooled copper drum. The cooling rate was 2.5⋅10^5 K/s for alloy 1 and 4⋅10^5 K/s for alloy 2. The phase compositions of thus obtained ribbons were studied by X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis using DRON-3 diffractometer in monochromated Cu-Kα radiation. To provide more complete amorphization of the alloys, the ribbons were crushed into powder and subjected to intensive ball milling in an attritor with a vertical impeller whose rotating speed was varied from 3 to 20 s^{-1}. The volume of the working chamber of the attritor was 1 dm^3, the diameter of balls made from hard alloy VK6 (94 % tungsten carbide – 6 % Co, Russian National Standard GOST 3882-74) was 5 mm, the mass of the balls was up to 3 kg, the mass of powder was 30 g, the duration of attritor processing (AP), t, was 10 to 60 min. As a result of AP, the content of amorphous phase in the alloys increased up to 98 %.

After AP the alloy powders were studied by differential thermal analysis (DTA) to measure the temperature of the crystallization onset, T_{cr}, and adiabatic heating at crystallization, ΔT, using a Perkin Elmer TG/DTA system; heating was performed in helium at a rate of 2, 5 and 10 K/min.

To study thermodynamic properties of alloys, a difference of the chemical potential of iron, Δμ_{Fe}^{(exp)}, between the multiphase iron-base alloy, which is used as anode, and a cathode made from pure α(bcc)-Fe was measured using an electrochemical method which was developed in [4, 5] for fully amorphous alloys. The method is based on detecting an instantaneous value of the electromotive force in a concentration-type electrochemical cell where a solution of sodium chloride and iron sulfate in pure alcohol is used as an electrolyte:

−Fe | KCl, Fe_2(SO_4)_3, C_2H_5OH | 〈Fe〉 in alloy+

**Experimental Results and Discussion**

The experimental data obtained by XRD, electrochemical measurements and DTA analysis of alloys 1 and 2 in the as-quenched state (time of AP t = 0) and after AP are presented in Table 1. It is seen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Milling time t, min</th>
<th>amorphous</th>
<th>α-solid solution</th>
<th>Phase composition, wt %</th>
<th>Δμ_{Fe}^{(exp)}, J/mol</th>
<th>T_{cr}, K</th>
<th>ΔT, K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>FeCr</td>
<td>1 2 1</td>
<td>6122</td>
<td>703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 2 1</td>
<td>5814</td>
<td>736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 3 1</td>
<td>5623</td>
<td>752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 3 1</td>
<td>4820</td>
<td>765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3 1</td>
<td>4606</td>
<td>785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2 –</td>
<td>4012</td>
<td>785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 1 –</td>
<td>4083</td>
<td>755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 1 –</td>
<td>3452</td>
<td>764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 1 –</td>
<td>3106</td>
<td>776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 1 –</td>
<td>2796</td>
<td>798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 1 –</td>
<td>2550</td>
<td>818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 1 –</td>
<td>2101</td>
<td>818</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
that for both alloys the cooling rate during melt spinning appeared insufficiently high to provide complete amorphization: the content of amorphous phases in the as-quenched state was 78 % for alloy 1 and 82 % for alloy 2. After AP the content of amorphous phase in both alloys reached 98 % because of dissolution of crystalline phases due to deformation-induced non-equilibrium diffusion, which is known to occur at mechanical alloying [6].

It is also seen that the thermal stability of the alloys is improved by AP: the temperature of the onset of crystallization $T_{cr}$ and adiabatic heating $\Delta T$ have increased. The latter can be attributed to the increase in the content of amorphous phase, but the former can be connected with enhanced stability of an amorphous phase due to intensive shear deformation during AP. It is known [7] that amorphous alloys consist of clusters containing ~10 atoms and individual atoms positioned chaotically between them, and this cluster-atomic structure can change at annealing below $T_{cr}$ (the so-called structural relaxation [1]) and during shear plastic deformation [7]. A decrease in the $\Delta \mu_{Fe}^{(exp)}$ values with increasing the milling time also testifies to the stability improvement of the amorphous phase. However, this parameter measured by the electrochemical method refers not to a single amorphous phase but to a composite amorphous-crystalline material.

Hence it is necessary to develop a thermodynamic method for determining the chemical potential of iron in an amorphous phase, $\mu_{Fe}^{(am)}$, and its partial molar enthalpy, $h_{Fe}^{(am)}$, from the experimental data obtained for a non-equilibrium multiphase alloy. Then it will be possible to evaluate quantitatively the effect of intensive plastic deformation during AP on the thermodynamic properties of a multicomponent amorphous phase and to link it, at least on a qualitative level, to changes in cluster-atomic structure and free volume of the amorphous phase.

Thermodynamic Model

**Chemical potential in a non-equilibrium multiphase alloy**

In a well-annealed multicomponent multiphase alloy, chemical potential of an element is the same throughout the volume, which follows from the condition of thermodynamic equilibrium. For a fully amorphous alloy, the variation of composition in the volume can be neglected, and chemical potential of a base metal in such an alloy can be considered constant at a given temperature, which forms a basis for electrochemical measurements performed in [4, 5]. In the considered amorphous-crystalline alloys, we deal with a substantially non-equilibrium situation. Drawing an analogy with the description of electrochemical reactions [8], we assume that effective chemical potential of $i$-th element in a non-equilibrium multiphase alloy, $\mu_{i}^{ef}$, is a volume-averaged value:

$$\mu_{i}^{ef} = \frac{N}{\varphi} \mu_{i}^{(q)} X_{\varphi},$$

where $X_{\varphi}$ is the molar fraction of phase $\varphi$ in the alloy and $N$ is the total number of phases, $\sum_{\varphi=1}^{N} X_{\varphi} = 1$; we assign $\varphi = 1$ to amorphous phase.

Then the experimental parameter $\Delta \mu_{Fe}^{(exp)}$ has the following physical meaning:

$$\Delta \mu_{Fe}^{(exp)} = \mu_{Fe}^{ef} - G_{Fe}^{(a)},$$

where $G_{Fe}^{(a)}$ is the Gibbs energy of pure $\alpha$-Fe, i.e. its chemical potential $\mu_{Fe}^{(a)} = G_{Fe}^{(a)}$.

From (1) and (2) the chemical potential of Fe in the amorphous phase is determined as

$$\mu_{Fe}^{(am)} = \left( \Delta \mu_{Fe}^{(exp)} - \sum_{\varphi=2}^{N} \mu_{Fe}^{(q)} X_{\varphi} + G_{Fe}^{(a)} \right) / X_{am}.$$  

The molar fraction of phase $\varphi$ in a multiphase alloy, $X_{\varphi}$, is connected with its mass fraction, $C_{\varphi}$:

$$X_{\varphi} = \frac{C_{\varphi} / m_{\varphi}}{\sum_{\varphi=1}^{N} C_{\varphi} / m_{\varphi}},$$

$$m_{\varphi} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}^{\varphi} m_{i},$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{i}^{\varphi} C_{\varphi} = c_{i}^{0}, \quad \sum_{\varphi=1}^{N} x_{i}^{\varphi} X_{\varphi} = x_{i}^{0},$$

where $m_{\varphi}$ is the molecular mass of phase $\varphi$, $m_{i}$ is the atomic mass of $i$-th element, $x_{i}^{\varphi}$ and $c_{i}^{\varphi}$ are the molar and mass concentration of $i$-th element in phase $\varphi$, $x_{i}^{0}$ and $c_{i}^{0}$ are its overall molar and mass content in the multiphase alloy.

Then, to use formula (3), it is necessary to determine the chemical potential of Fe in all the crystalline phases (solid solutions and compounds) listed in Table 1.
Chemical potential of iron in crystaline phases

In multicomponent phase $\varphi$, partial molar parameters of $i$-th component $z_i^{(\varphi)} = \mu_i^{(\varphi)}$, $h_i^{(\varphi)}$, $s_i^{(\varphi)}$, $\Delta h_{ex,i}^{(\varphi)}$ (chemical potential, partial enthalpy, partial entropy and partial excess enthalpy of mixing, respectively) are determined from the corresponding integral thermodynamic parameters of this phase, which are defined per one mole of solution $Z_\varphi = G_\varphi$, $H_\varphi$, $S_\varphi$, $H_{ex}^\varphi$ (the Gibbs energy, enthalpy, entropy and excess enthalpy, respectively), according to the following equation \[9]:

$$z_i^{(\varphi)} = Z_\varphi + \sum_{j=2}^{k} \left( \delta_{ij} - x_j \right) \frac{\partial Z_\varphi}{\partial x_j}, \tag{5}$$

where $k$ is the number of components, $i = 1$ refers to the base element (here Fe), and $\delta_{ij}$ is the Kronecker delta; $\delta_{ij} = 1$ at $i = j$, $\delta_{ij} = 0$ at $i \neq j$.

Hereinafter we employ thermodynamic models for crystalline phases, which are used in the CALPHAD (Calculation of Phase Diagrams) approach [10]. The Gibbs energy of a multicomponent substitutional solid solution $\varphi$ (here it is the iron-base $\alpha$-phase) is described using the regular solution concept:

$$G_\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^\varphi \mu_i^{(\varphi)} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^\varphi G_i^{\varphi} + G_{\text{max}, \text{id}}^{\varphi} + G_{\text{ex}}^{\varphi},$$

$$G_{\text{max}, \text{id}}^{\varphi} = -TS_i^{\varphi} - S_d^{\varphi} = -R \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^\varphi \ln x_i^\varphi, \tag{6}$$

where $G_i^{\varphi}$ is the Gibbs energy of $i$-th component in phase $\varphi$, $G_{\text{ex}}^{\varphi}$ is the excess free energy of mixing (actually, enthalpy of mixing, $G_{\text{ex}}^{\varphi} = H_{\text{ex}}^{\varphi}$), $G_{\text{max}, \text{id}}^{\varphi}$ is the ideal energy of mixing (the entropic term), $S_d^{\varphi}$ is the ideal, or configurational entropy of mixing and $R$ is the universal gas constant.

In the CALPHAD approach, the Gibbs energy of pure $i$-th component in phase $\varphi$ is expressed in a polynomial form:

$$G_i^{\varphi} = a_i + b_i T + c_i T \ln T + \sum d_{i,n} T^n + H_i^{\text{SER}} + G_{i, \text{pres}}^{\varphi} + G_{i, \text{mag}}^{\varphi}. \tag{7}$$

Here $G_{i, \text{pres}}^{\varphi}$ and $G_{i, \text{mag}}^{\varphi}$ describe the contribution of pressure and magnetic ordering to the total Gibbs energy of $i$-th element in phase state $\varphi$, $H_i^{\text{SER}}$ is the so-called standard element reference, $a_i$, $b_i$, $c_i$ and $d_{i,n}$ are numerical parameters for $i$-th element, and $n$ is an integer. Parameter $H_i^{\text{SER}} = H_i(T=298) - H_i(T=0)$ has a meaning of a correction term when passing from $T = 298$ K to $T = 0$ K as a standard temperature for calculating $G$ and $H$.

For most of the elements in different phase states, the values of parameters $a$, $b$, $c$, $d$ and $H_i^{\text{SER}}$ in Eq. (7), as well as formulas for calculating $G_{i, \text{pres}}^{\varphi}$ and $G_{i, \text{mag}}^{\varphi}$ for ferromagnetic and paramagnetic states, are presented in SGTE database [11].

The excess energy of mixing that appears in (6) is determined as

$$G_{\text{ex}}^{\varphi} = H_{\text{ex}}^{\varphi} = \sum_{i,j} x_i^\varphi x_j^\varphi L_{ij}^{\varphi} + \sum_{i,j,k} x_i^\varphi x_j^\varphi x_k^\varphi L_{ijk}^{\varphi}, \tag{8}$$

where $L_{ij}^{\varphi}$ and $L_{ijk}^{\varphi}$, $i \neq j \neq k$, are the parameters of binary ($i$-$j$) and ternary ($i$-$j$-$k$) interaction in phase $\varphi$. They are described by a Redlich-Kister-Muggianu polynomial [10]. For binary interaction

$$L_{ij}^{\varphi} = \frac{N}{n} L_{ij}^{\varphi} \left( x_i^\varphi - x_j^\varphi \right)^n, \tag{9}$$

where $n$ is a positive integer. For ternary interaction

$$L_{ijk}^{\varphi} = 0 L_{ijk}^{\varphi} + i L_{ijk}^{\varphi} x_i^\varphi + j L_{ijk}^{\varphi} x_j^\varphi + k L_{ijk}^{\varphi} x_k^\varphi, \tag{10}$$

The temperature dependence of parameters $n L_{ij}^{\varphi}$, $m \geq 0$, and $m L_{ijk}^{\varphi}$, $m = 0$, $i, j, k$, $i \neq j \neq k$, is typically considered as linear, $L = A + BT$.

In calculating the Gibbs energy of Fe-base $\alpha$-solid solution according to formulas (8) – (10), the parameters for binary interactions Fe–Cr, Fe–Si, Cr–B, Cr–Ni, Cr–Si, Ni–B, Ni–Mo, Ni–Si and Mo–Si were taken from [12], for Fe–B, Fe–Co and Co–B from [13], for Fe–Ni from [14], for Fe–Mo from [15], and ternary interaction parameters Fe–Cr–Ni, Fe–Ni–Mo, Fe–Ni–Si were taken from [12]. The formulas for chemical potential of iron in alloys 1 and 2, which are derived by applying Eq. (5) to (6) and taking into account expressions (8) – (10), are too cumbersome and cannot be presented here.

The thermodynamics of binary compounds in the CALPHAD approach is described by the Hillert-Staffanson sublattice model [9, 10]. For rhombohedral phase Fe$_2$B per 1 mole of solution, i.e. for formula Fe$_{0.67}$B$_{0.33}$, we have [16]

$$G_{\text{Fe}_{0.67}B_{0.33}}^{\text{BCC}} = \left( 2 G_{\text{Fe}}^{\text{BCC}} + G_{\text{B}}^{\text{rho}} - 81226 + 3.01 T \right) / 3. \tag{11}$$

Here $G_{\text{B}}^{\text{rho}}$ is the Gibbs energy of boron in a state with a rhombohedral lattice, $G_{\text{Fe}}^{\text{BCC}}$ is the Gibbs energy of $\alpha$(bcc)-Fe [11].

Then, using Eq. (5), we obtain the chemical potential of Fe in Fe$_2$B:

$$\mu_{Fe}^{(Fe_2B)} = G_{Fe}^{BCC} - 27075 + 1.00337T.$$  (12)

For phase FeB per 1 mole, i.e. for Fe$_{0.5}$B$_{0.5}$, we have [16]:

$$G_{Fe_{0.5}B_{0.5}} = \left( G_{Fe}^{BCC} + G_{B}^{BCC} - 73933 + 0.3076T \right)/2.$$  (13)

Using Eq. (5), we derive the chemical potential of Fe in FeB:

$$\mu_{Fe}^{(FeB)} = G_{Fe}^{FCC} - 36967 + 0.15387T.$$  (14)

Similarly, using data [17], we obtain the chemical potential of Fe in phase FeSi per 1 mole of solution (i.e. for Fe$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$):

$$\mu_{Fe}^{(FeSi)} = G_{Fe}^{BCC} - 36831 + 2.22T.$$  (15)

For σ-FeCr phase, a three-sublattice model is used: it is represented as (Fe) 8(Fe,Cr) 18(Cr) 4 [18], and its Gibbs energy per 1 mole of solution looks as

$$G_σ = \left[ y_Fe^{σ} G_{FeFeCr}^{σ} + y_{Fe}^{σ} G_{FeCrCr}^{σ} + y_{Fe}^{σ} G_{CrCrCr}^{σ} \right] + 18RT \left( y_{Fe}^{σ} \ln y_{Fe}^{σ} + y_{Cr}^{σ} \ln y_{Cr}^{σ} \right)/30,$$  (16)

where parameters $G_{FeFeCr}^{σ}$ and $G_{FeCrCr}^{σ}$ are defined as

$$G_{FeFeCr}^{σ} = 8G_{Fe}^{FCC} + 18G_{Cr}^{BCC} + 4G_{BCC}^{BCC} + 72000 - 31T,$$

$$G_{FeCrCr}^{σ} = 8G_{Fe}^{FCC} + 22G_{Cr}^{BCC} + 49000 - 31T.$$  (17)

In (16) and (17), $y_Fe^{σ}$ and $y_{Fe}^{σ}$ are the site fractions of iron atoms in sublattices I and II, $y_{Cr}^{σ}$ and $y_{Fe}^{σ}$ are the same for chromium; $G_{Fe}^{FCC}$ is the Gibbs energy of Fe in pure Fe, $G_{Cr}^{BCC}$ and $G_{BCC}^{BCC}$ are the Gibbs energy of iron in a hypothetical paramagnetic bcc state, which are determined from the SGTE database [11].

Assuming the composition of σ-phase as Fe$_{0.5}$Cr$_{0.5}$, for which $y_{Fe}^{σ} = 7/18$ and $y_{Fe}^{σ} = 11/18$, and applying Eq. (5) to (17), we obtain the chemical potential of Fe:

$$\mu_{Fe}^{(σ)} = \left( 8G_{Fe}^{FCC} + 18G_{Cr}^{BCC} + 4G_{Cr}^{BCC} + 72000 - 31T \right)/30.$$  (18)

Considering 1 mole of solution, i.e. Fe$_{0.67}$Mo$_{0.33}$, and applying Eq. (5) to thermodynamic model [15], the chemical potential of Fe in this phase is obtained as

$$\mu_{Fe}^{(Fe_0.67Mo_0.33)} = G_{Fe}^{FCC} - 3599.3 + 0.044T.$$  (19)

**Partial molar characteristics of iron in amorphous phase**

After calculating the chemical potential of Fe in amorphous phase using Eq. (3) and the above presented formulas for $\mu_{Fe}$ in crystalline phases of a multiphase alloy, it becomes possible to determine the partial molar enthalpy $h_{Fe}^{(am)}$ and excess partial molar enthalpy $\Delta h_{ex,Fe}^{(am)}$ of iron using classical definitions [9, 10]:

$$h_{Fe}^{(am)} = h_{Fe}^{(am)} - T_s^{(am)} = c_{Fe}^{(am)} + \Delta h_{ex,Fe}^{(am)}$$  (20)

Here $c_{Fe}^{(am)}$ is the partial molar enthalpy of Fe in a multicomponent amorphous phase, $G_{Fe}^{am}$ and $H_{Fe}^{am}$ are the Gibbs energy and enthalpy, correspondingly, of pure Fe in amorphous state. According to [19], enthalpy of a pure element in amorphous state is the same as in supercooled liquid, i.e. $H_{Fe}^{am} = H_{Fe}^{liq}$ and $G_{Fe}^{am} = G_{Fe}^{liq}$.

Now it is necessary to determine the entropy term in formulas (20). For crystalline phases, as seen from (6), only the ideal entropy of mixing $S_{id}^{am}$ exists. In amorphous phase, along with $S_{id}^{am}$ there is mismatch entropy $S_{σ}^{am}$ which is due to a difference in atomic sizes [20, 21], i.e. $S_{am}^{σ} = S_{id}^{am} + S_{σ}^{am}$. Then for partial molar entropy of iron in amorphous phase

$$S_{Fe}^{σ} = S_{id}^{am} + S_{σ}^{am} + S_{σ,Fe}^{am} = -R \ln \frac{\chi_{Fe}^{am}}{\chi_{id,Fe}^{am}},$$  (21)

where $\chi_{σ,Fe}^{am}$ is the partial molar entropy of Fe in the amorphous phase and $\chi_{id,Fe}^{am} = (H_{Fe}^{liq} - G_{Fe}^{liq})/T$ is the standard entropy of pure iron in the liquid state.

The mismatch entropy per 1 mole of solution is defined as [20, 21]

$$S_{σ}^{am} = R \left( 1.5 \zeta^2 + 1.5(\zeta - 1)^2 y_2 - \left[ (\zeta - 1)(\zeta - 3)/2 + \ln \xi \right] \right) \left( y_2 + y_3 \right),$$  (22)

where $\zeta = 1/(1 - \xi)$, $\xi = 0.64$ for dense packing of spherical particles; parameters $y_2$ and $y_3$ look as
\[
y_1 = \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_3} \right) \sum_{j \neq i=1}^{k} \left( d_i + d_j \right) \left( d_i - d_j \right)^2 x_i x_j,
\]
\[
y_2 = \left( \frac{\sigma_3}{\sigma_2} \right) \sum_{j \neq i=1}^{k} d_i d_j \left( d_i - d_j \right)^2 x_i x_j,
\]
\[
y_3 = \sigma_3^2 / \sigma_2^2, \quad \sigma_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i d_i^n, \quad n = 2, 3,
\]
(23)

\[d_i\] and \[d_j\] being the diameters of \(i\)-th and \(j\)-th species, \(i \neq j\).

Then the partial molar mismatch entropy of iron in amorphous phase, \(s_{\text{Fe}}^{(\text{am})}\), is determined by applying Eq. (5) to formulas (22) and (23); the derived expression is too cumbersome and therefore is not presented.

**Theoretical Results and Discussion**

For modeling it was assumed that the composition of Fe-based \(\alpha\)-solid solution is constant and the composition of amorphous phase during AP was determined from the condition of mass balance (4). Calculations have shown that the composition of amorphous phase remains almost constant.

For alloy 1, the chemical potential of iron in the amorphous phase \(\mu_{\text{Fe}}^{(\text{am})}\), its partial molar enthalpy \(h_{\text{Fe}}^{(\text{am})}\) and excess partial molar enthalpy \(\Delta h_{\text{ex,Fe}}^{(\text{am})}\) at standard (room) temperature \(T = 298\) K, which were calculated on the basis of the electrochemically measured values, are shown in Fig. 1 as functions of the processing time in the attritor. It is seen that increasing the AP duration brings about a noticeable decrease in the values of \(\mu_{\text{Fe}}^{(\text{am})}\), \(h_{\text{Fe}}^{(\text{am})}\) and \(\Delta h_{\text{ex,Fe}}^{(\text{am})}\). Without AP \(\Delta h_{\text{ex,Fe}}^{(\text{am})} > 0\), with increasing the milling time this value becomes negative. Therefore, during intensive plastic deformation of the material in the course of AP not only the dissolution of crystalline phases occurs but also the stability of amorphous phase improves.

Fig. 2 displays relative values of partial molar characteristics (chemical potential and enthalpy) of iron in the amorphous phase of alloy 1 with regard to crystalline phases: \(\alpha\)-solid solution with the same composition as the amorphous phase \((\text{am} \rightarrow \alpha\text{-ss})\) and pure \(\alpha\)-Fe \((\text{am} \rightarrow \alpha\text{-Fe})\) and pure liquid iron \((\text{am} \rightarrow \alpha\text{-Fe})\) vs. milling time in the attritor.

The chemical potential of iron in the amorphous phase, $\Delta \mu_{Fe,ex}^{(am)} = \mu_{Fe,ex}^{(am)} - G_{liq}^{Fe}$, is also shown.

It is seen that the chemical potential of Fe in the amorphous phase is higher than the free energy of pure $\alpha$-iron and substantially higher than its chemical potential in $\alpha$-solid solution of the same composition, which is due to the non-equilibrium nature of amorphous phase. At the same time, it is more stable than pure liquid iron at room temperature because $\Delta \mu_{Fe,ex}^{(am)} < 0$. Parameter $\Delta \mu_{Fe}^{(am \rightarrow \alpha - ss)}$ is a change in the chemical potential of Fe at diffusionless crystallization of the amorphous phase into supersaturated $\alpha$-solid solution of the same composition while parameter $-\Delta h_{Fe}^{(am \rightarrow \alpha - ss)}$ is actually the heat release during crystallization per 1 mole of iron in the solution.

The obtained results testify to the stabilization of amorphous phase as a result of intensive plastic deformation at AP. This can be attributed to a change in cluster-atomic structure of the quaternary amorphous phase [7].

The results of thermodynamic modeling of amorphous phase in alloy 2 are shown in Fig. 3 and 4.

At the qualitative level, in this system the dependence of the partial thermodynamic parameters of Fe in the multicomponent amorphous phase on the milling time is the same as in quaternary alloy 1 (compare Fig. 1 and 3). The difference is that the values of all the partial molar characteristics of iron in the amorphous phase are substantially lower than in alloy 1, the partial excess enthalpy $\Delta h_{Fe}^{(am \rightarrow \alpha - Fe)}$ falls below zero at milling time $t > 10$ min and the excess chemical potential of Fe is negative for all milling times (Fig. 4). Thus, the multicomponent amorphous phase in alloy 2 appears to be more stable than the quaternary amorphous phase in alloy 1, and its stability is still increasing with intensive milling in an attritor.

**Conclusion**

A new thermodynamic model is developed for determining partial molar characteristics of a base metal (here Fe) of a multicomponent amorphous phase in a multiphase amorphous-crystalline alloy on the
basis of the results of electrochemical measurements. Calculations performed for two iron-base alloys have shown that the chemical potential of Fe and its partial molar enthalpy in the amorphous phase decrease during intensive ball milling in an attritor, that is the stability of the amorphous phase is substantially increasing. This is more evident for multicomponent alloy 2 (system Fe–Si–B–Ni–Co–Cr–Mo). Thus, complex alloying of iron with six elements combined with AP after melt quenching permits producing almost fully amorphous alloy that features good thermodynamic stability.

The developed thermodynamic method combined with experimental measurements can be used to characterize quantitatively the structural relaxation of amorphous alloys during annealing at a temperature below the crystallization point and to describe structural transformations that occur in amorphous phases at the cluster-atomic level at plastic deformation.
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